

Materials Procedures (MP) Committee Meeting

July 20, 2022

Present: Dan Brayack, Adam Nester, Erica Henson, Daniel Hoskins, John Crane, Stephen Johnson, Colton Jobes, Andrew Thaxton, Steve Boggs, Janie Matics, Chris Preston, Dave Matics, Justin Moffitt, 304-***-**91, 1-717-***-**68, Adam Gillispie, Charles Ramsey, Dave Lipscomb, Jason Boyd, Jimmy Pritt, Josh Hemsworth, Kelly Chapman, Matt Crum, Paul Williams, Shawn Jack, Shirell Duckworth, Suman Thapa, Vince Allison

Voting

MCS&T: Andrew Thaxton

Contract Administration: Matt Crum

Traffic: Josh Hemsworth

Operations Division: Steve Johnson

Technical Support: Steve Boggs

709.01.51 Acceptance Criteria for Epoxy Coated Reinforcing Steel

- Steve Boggs brought attention to several numbering and formatting issues; Dan Brayack will take care of editing and correcting the format.

Vote

MCS&T: Y

CA: Y

Traffic: Y

Op: Y

Tech Support: Y

110.00.40 Lab Inspections

- John Crane wondered if the inspections were just for Materials or other labs; Daniel Hoskins said it was originally intended – and still is – for the labs at Materials. Crane suggested the title of the MP be made more specific to reflect that.
- Steve Boggs suggested that a section that names one of the managers of Materials be made generic (Crane agreed); Hoskins said it was just used as a template and wouldn't be that specific.
- AASHTO/ASTM numbers will be corrected to reflect current titles.

Vote

MCS&T: Y

CA: Y

Traffic: Y

Op: Y

Tech Support: Y

661.03.40 Criteria to Approve Finished Aluminum Roadway Signs

- 661.02.40 will be the actual number of this; it is a rewrite of 661.03.40
- George Hanna, speaking for MP Champion Dave Lipscomb, said that they have been working with Ted Whitmore on this and he is currently out on vacation. They'll continue working with him when he returns and would like to vote on it at the next meeting.

Vote to table

MCS&T: Y

CA: Y

Traffic: Y

Op: Y

Tech Support: Y

100.00.00 Preparing Materials Procedures

- Steve Boggs noted that this was similar to DD 105 and needed to double check references to make sure they line up to DD 105.
- The MP follows the guidelines for formatting from the AASHTO publication guide (as outlined in section 4 of this MP).
- Attachment 1: Dan Brayack said that if an MP is sent within seven days, they can almost guarantee that it will be on the agenda. Steve Boggs thought two weeks was a tight deadline. It was suggested to either match DD or change both.
- Mike Mance thought perhaps Figure 4 was redundant; Dan said they could get rid of it. Steve Boggs said if so, 6.2 would need to be edited to reflect that.

Vote

MCS&T: Y

CA: Y

Traffic: Y

Op: Y

Tech Support: Y

Reconfirmation of Various MPs

- Dan Brayack has been working on reconfirming already-existing MPs by updating the formatting, font, title of MCS&T and its address. The content has not been edited. For example, if calculations were done in metric in an MP, they have remained that way.
- Mike Mance asked if supervisors at Materials had been asked to review MPs relevant to their sections; Dan said they had each received the MP agenda, but none had responded to him regarding any of these MPs.
- Steve Boggs suggested holding out for one more meeting to let people have more time to review. Dan Brayack said that some of these had also gone out with the agenda/accompanying documents in February.
- John Crane said that on AASHTO reconfirmations, they keep the original date and then have an 'r' date (for reconfirmation); is this what Dan wants? Yes, Dan explained that the original effective date would remain on each MP and that a reconfirmation date would be added.
- Dan Brayack will meet with John Crane to talk about some of the MPs that may no longer be effective from an asphalt perspective; they'll then review with Ron Stanevich and Shawn Jack.

- John Crane said that revising (as some of them may need) isn't really reaffirming. Andrew asked if it could just be called revising because there are always updates that can be made.

Vote

MCS&T: Y

CA: Y

Traffic: Y

Op: Y

Tech Support: N

700.00.52 Guide for Source Rating System Relative to Maintenance Contracts

- Justin Moffitt: Previously, if an aggregate producer failed in compliance, they were removed from the approved sources list for a year. The guidelines in this MP reduces that time to only a quarter, if they begin to meet compliance.
- Steve Johnson asked if the quarterly evaluation was the same as the overall evaluation? Justin Moffitt explained that after the producer is off the list for a quarter and then begins meeting compliance, they will then be checked again quarterly for one year and afterward will be evaluated yearly.
- This MP may be up for vote next month.