

Materials Procedures (MP) Committee Meeting

March 31, 2022

Present: Erica Henson, Dan Brayack, Jess Sizemore, Eliot Watson, Charles Ramsey, Calvin Ratchford, Jeremy Burchett, Jonathan Bailey, Suman Thapa, Dave Lipscomb, Dale Hill, Chris Preston, Mary Coles, Amber Pennington, Phil Brown, Matthew Daly, Andrew Thaxton, John Crane, Thomas Ross, Randy Coyle, Dave Matics, George Hanna, Mike Mance, Clay McCabe, Hank Gottschalk, Bill Dague, Ted Whitmore, Bill Murray, Jason Taylor, Kimberly Hoover, Ron Stanevich, Steve Boggs, Shawn Jack

Voting

MCST: Andrew Thaxton

CA: Matt Crum

Operations: Bill Murray

TED: Ted Whitmore

EGR:

Editorial Change to QC MPs

- This insertion deals with not paying for an item represented by an absent test.
- Dale Hill said that the supply industry has no issue.
- Matt Daly was concerned that the “quality control plan” was more broad than just an absent test. Mike Mance added that this was for an absent test, not a test that was performed incorrectly.
- Added “frequency in the” Contract Division Approved Quality Control Plan

Vote

Andrew Thaxton: Yes

Matt Crum: Yes

Bill Murray: Yes

Ted Whitmore: Yes

709.01.55 Criteria to Approve Plain Reinforcing Steel Bars

- Dave Lipscomb: this is primarily for MCST laboratory use and, among other things, adds NTPEP.

Vote

Andrew Thaxton: Yes

Matt Crum: Yes

Bill Murray: Yes

Ted Whitmore: Yes

658.05.06 Ancillary Structure Anchor Bolt Tightening

- John Crane suggested getting rid of the brand name that is listed in the Exhibits A and B in the pdf version. Dan Brayack said the passage will include the removal of proprietary information.
- Ted Whitmore requested tabling this as he wants to address other topics such as new structures built on existing foundations. Ted said he will resubmit and work on the attached exhibits.
- All four voting members voted to table. [I didn't write this as a traditional vote like I did on the two above because it wasn't a passage of the MP. If you want it written the same way, let me know and I can edit].

601.03.50 QC of Portland Cement Concrete

- Adding subsection 4.2.4.
- Mike Mance felt the added comment had already been addressed in the previous paragraph. The Construction Engineer wasn't specifically mentioned because they didn't want to get too specific; it instead listed the division.
- Dale Hill realizes that while "Construction Engineer" might be too specific, he was just concerned that the word "determine" might mean that a forensic investigation wouldn't be conducted.
- Matthew Daly mentioned that this doesn't close off DMIR as an option, either.

- Jeremy Burchett: What about setting a percentage of error that has to be reached before tripping an investigation? Mike Mance felt that this kind of outlines what's already happening.

Vote

Andrew Thaxton: Yes

Matt Crum: Yes

Bill Murray: Yes

Ted Whitmore: Yes

109.20.00 Basis for Charges for Non-Submittal of Sampling & Testing Documentation in a Timely Manner

- Addresses a fee for not performing a test (and also documentation).
- Matthew Daly said it only applies to what is specified, but what about missing tests in general?
- Jason Taylor asked if the administrative fee apply here, too (105.03 - \$200)? Mike Mance said he believes it's separate because it's sometimes needed, sometimes not.
- Following the vote, John Crane mentioned he saw unnecessarily repetitive lines; he'll send to Jess

Vote

Andrew Thaxton: Yes

Matt Crum: Yes

Bill Murray: Yes

Ted Whitmore: Yes

603.10.00 Lab Inspection MP: Daniel Hoskins

- Shawn Jack said this sets a standard for district lab inspections
- Jess asked if it applied to consultant labs, also? Shawn said they sometimes inspect those, but not often.

Due to technical difficulties, the rest of the meeting was delayed and notes are unavailable.