

Materials Procedures (MP) Committee Meeting

September 24, 2020

401.02.25 Certification of Asphalt Shipping Terminals

Martin Dougherty's Comments

- Made some minor changes regarding the words "data" and "criteria" requiring "are" instead of "is".
- Martin also made a comment about a max/up to 2 years; Dan called on Shawn Jack who said he agreed with Martin's comments
- There was a discussion on use of tanker/tanker truck, based on definition and committee agreed to change as per Martin's Comments.

Stormie Brewster's Comment

- She was concerned about the expectation of the supply side. She said DOH was welcome to come by for samples; they certify, but don't necessarily test every drop. Shawn Jack said that they will continue to test 3 times a year to maintain approved source list (would only test more frequently if it becomes necessary).
- She felt like her issue was addressed and was concerned the language there could be misinterpreted, but acknowledged there were not a lot of liquid suppliers in the state.
- The blue language in the MP is from a previous MP.

Joseph Neely's Comment

- Removed a dash (chronicled in draft's track changes).

VOTE: Passed, 4-0

More Discussion

Soderholms Comment

- Change "Hot Mix Asphalt" because of the difference between warm/hot mixes. He prefers "asphalt mix" but that's not in the spec and they should match. Vince Allison agrees with him, but says just to change to "asphalt". Dan asked voting members if they

were okay with the change being made to it after it was already approved and they concurred.

Quality Control MPs

307.00.50 Guide for Quality Control and Acceptance Plans for Subgrade, Base Course, and Aggregate Items

Joseph Neely's Comment

- Concerned about the possibility of listing ProjectWise program in the event that it would be replaced in the future. Dan thinks the name could be kept in and that the MP can be updated later if necessary.

Martin Dougherty's Comments

- Language change of "should" because it's implied that while something *should* be done, it doesn't have to be. Believes that "shall" is a better word. Dan called on Dave Matics, who agreed.
- Use of "letter". Dan asked Shawn Jack how he received QC Plans and he said it was almost always sent to him in email form and rarely in the form of a letter. Someone suggested the use of "correspondence" instead, which Shawn liked.
 - Review use of "letter" compared to "email".

Other:

- Section 8: insertion of text on document.

601.03.50 Guide for Quality Control and Acceptance Requirements for Portland Cement Concrete

Joseph Neely's Comment

- Double units listed elsewhere – ounces and grams (he included grams). Mike Mance checked mix design and said that it lists ad mixture name/dose.
 - 4.2.9.2: check units for consistency

711.03.23 Mix Design for Portland Cement Concrete

Martin Dougherty's Comment

- Is "Site Manager" one word or two? Mike Mance and Shawn Jack confirmed it is "SiteManager".

- Dan will correct it and will do a check for consistency.

Other

- This MP may be voted on meeting after next.

700.00.56 Aggregate Source Approval Procedures

- Randy Shuman gave a summary on this MP: it outlines the procedure of approving aggregate sources including how many sources are needed for approval, what happens if they're rejected, etc. This MP was written from scratch.

Comments/Discussion

- Steve Boggs: Randy agrees on the comments he made (chronicled in draft); Dan says he will incorporate them into the next draft
- Randy wants to talk to Dave Matics some more about this before it's voted on; if no major changes are warranted, then it may be up at for a vote at next meeting.